arXiv:1412.5734v1 [math.NT] 18 Dec 2014

On the divisibility of sums involving powers of multi-variable Schmidt polynomials

Qi-Fei Chen[1] and Victor J. W. Guo[2*]

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Rd., Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China

[1]figo116@outlook.com, [2]jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo

Abstract. The multi-variable Schmidt polynomials are defined by

$$S_n^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{2k}^r \binom{2k}{k} x_k.$$

We prove that, for any positive integers m, n, r, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, all the coefficients in the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^k (2k+1) S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)^m$$

are multiples of n. This generalizes a recent result of Pan on the divisibility of sums of Apéry polynomials.

Keywords: Schmidt numbers, generalized Schmidt polynomials, Pfaff-Saalschütz identity

AMS Subject Classifications: 11A07, 11B65, 05A10, 05A19

1 Introduction

Schmidt [7] introduced the numbers

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r,$$

which, for $r = 2$, are called the Apéry numbers since Apéry [2] published his ingenious proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. Motivated by the work of Z.-W. Sun [9] on the Apéry polynomials, Guo and Zeng [5] introduced the Schmidt polynomials

$$S_n^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r x^k,$$

*Corresponding author.

and obtained the following congruence:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x)\equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

where $\varepsilon=\pm 1$. Here and in what follows, we say that a polynomial P (perhaps in multi-variables) is congruent to 0 modulo n, if all the coefficients in P are multiples of n.

Recently, Pan [6] proved that, for any positive integers r, m and n, there holds

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x)^m\equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varepsilon=\pm 1$, and thus proved the related conjectures by Sun [9,10] and Guo and Zeng [5]. Note that, it is not easy to prove (1.1) for $m\geqslant 2$ directly, because there are no simple formula to calculate the coefficients in the left-hand side of (1.1). In fact, Pan [6] proved (1.1) by establishing a q-analogue.

Let

$$S_n^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2k}^r\binom{2k}{k}x_k.$$

We shall give a generalization of (1.1) as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For any positive integers r, m, n and nonnegative integer a, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)^m\equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)^m\equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \tag{1.3}$$

It is clear that, if we take $x_k=\binom{2k}{k}^{r-1}x^k$ in the congruences (1.2) and (1.3), then we obtain the congruence (1.1).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $m=1$

We first establish the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For $\ell,m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $r\geqslant 1$, there exist integers $b_{m,k}^{(r)}$ $(m\leqslant k\leqslant rm)$ divisible by $\binom{k}{m}$ such that*

$$\binom{\ell+m}{2m}^r\binom{2m}{m}=\sum_{k=m}^{rm}b_{m,k}^{(r)}\binom{\ell+k}{2k}\binom{2k}{k}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We proceed by mathematical induction on r. It is clear that the identity (2.1) holds for $r=1$ with $b_{m,m}^{(1)}=1=\binom{m}{m}$. Suppose that the statement is true for r. A special case of the Pfaff-Saalschütz identity (see [8, p. 44, Exercise 2.d] and [1]) reads

$$\binom{\ell+m}{m+k}\binom{\ell+k}{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{\ell-m}{i}\binom{m}{m+i-k}\binom{\ell+m+i}{\ell},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\binom{\ell+k}{2k}\binom{2k}{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\frac{(m+k)!i!}{(m+i)!k!}\binom{m}{k-i}\binom{\ell-m}{i}\binom{\ell+m+i}{i}. \tag{2.2}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $\binom{\ell+m}{2m}$ and applying (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{\ell+m}{2m}^{r+1}\binom{2m}{m}\\
&=\sum_{k=m}^{rm}b_{m,k}^{(r)}\binom{\ell+k}{2k}\binom{2k}{k}\binom{\ell+m}{2m}\\
&=\sum_{k=m}^{rm}b_{m,k}^{(r)}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\frac{(m+k)!i!}{(m+i)!k!}\binom{m}{k-i}\binom{\ell-m}{i}\binom{\ell+m+i}{i}\binom{\ell+m}{2m}\\
&=\sum_{k=m}^{rm}\sum_{i=0}^{k}b_{m,k}^{(r)}\binom{k}{m}^{-1}\binom{m+i}{m}\binom{m}{k-i}\binom{m+k}{2m}\binom{\ell+m+i}{2(m+i)}\binom{2(m+i)}{m+i}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Letting $m+i=j$ and exchanging the summation order in the right-hand side of (2.3), we see that the identity (2.1) holds for $r+1$ with

$$b_{m,j}^{(r+1)}=\binom{j}{m}\sum_{k=m}^{rm}b_{m,k}^{(r)}\binom{k}{m}^{-1}\binom{m}{j-k}\binom{m+k}{2m},\quad m\leqslant j\leqslant(r+1)m. \tag{2.4}$$

By the induction hypothesis, each $b_{m,k}^{(r)}$ is divisible by $\binom{k}{m}$, and so $b_{m,j}^{(r+1)}$ is an integer divisible by $\binom{j}{m}$. This proves that the identity (2.1) is also true for $r+1$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 *for* $m=1$. Applying (2.1) and the identity

$$\sum_{\ell=k}^{n-1}(2\ell+1)\binom{\ell+k}{2k}\binom{2k}{k}=n\binom{n}{k+1}\binom{n+k}{k}, \tag{2.5}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(2k+1)\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k+i}{2i}^r\binom{2i}{i}x_i\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x_i\sum_{k=i}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i}^{ri}b_{i,j}^{(r)}(2k+1)\binom{k+j}{2j}\binom{2j}{j}\\&=n\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x_i\sum_{j=i}^{ri}b_{i,j}^{(r)}\binom{n}{j+1}\binom{n+j}{j}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying (2.1) and the identity

$$\sum_{\ell=k}^{n-1}(-1)^\ell(2\ell+1)\binom{\ell+k}{2k}\binom{2k}{k}=(-1)^{n-1}n\binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n+k}{k},\tag{2.6}$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)=(-1)^{n-1}n\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x_i\sum_{j=i}^{ri}b_{i,j}^{(r)}\binom{n-1}{j}\binom{n+j}{j}.$$

This completes the proof. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $m>1$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)^m&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k+i}{2i}^r\binom{2i}{i}x_i\right)^m\\&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)\sum_{0\leqslant i_1,\ldots,i_m\leqslant k}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\binom{k+i_j}{2i_j}^r\binom{2i_j}{i_j}x_{i_j},\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Exchanging the summation order, we may rewrite the right-hand side of (3.1) as

$$\sum_{0\leqslant i_1,\ldots,i_m\leqslant n-1}\sum_{k=\max\{i_1,\ldots,i_m\}}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)\prod_{j=1}^{m}\binom{k+i_j}{2i_j}^r\binom{2i_j}{i_j}x_{i_j}.\tag{3.2}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we see that $\binom{k+i_j}{2i_j}^r\binom{2i_j}{i_j}$, as a polynomial in k, is a linear combination of

$$\binom{k+i_j}{2i_j}\binom{2i_j}{i_j},\binom{k+i_j+1}{2i_j+2}\binom{2i_j+2}{i_j+1},\ldots,\ \binom{k+ri_j}{2ri_j}\binom{2ri_j}{ri_j}$$

with integer coefficients. Note that (2.2) with $m=j$ and $k=i$ also gives

$$\binom{\ell+i}{2i}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{\ell+j}{2j}\binom{2j}{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i+j}{i}\binom{j}{i-k}\binom{j+k}{k}\binom{\ell+j+k}{2j+2k}\binom{2j+2k}{j+k}. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.1 and then repeatedly using (3.3), we find that the expression $\prod_{j=1}^{m}\binom{k+i_j}{2i_j}^r\binom{2i_j}{i_j}$, as a polynomial in k, can be written as a linear combination of

$$\binom{k+i}{2i}\binom{2i}{i},\binom{k+i+1}{2i+2}\binom{2i+2}{i+1},\ldots,\ \binom{k+r(i_1+\cdots+i_m)}{2r(i_1+\cdots+i_m)}\binom{2r(i_1+\cdots+i_m)}{r(i_1+\cdots+i_m)},$$

with integer coefficients, where $i=\max\{i_1,\ldots,i_m\}$.

Finally, using (2.5) and (2.6), we deduce that the coefficients in (3.2) are all multiples of n. Namely, Theorem 1.1 holds for $m>1$.

Remark. The identity (3.3) was also utilized by the second author [3] to confirm some conjectures of Z.-W. Sun [9, 10] on sums of powers of Delannoy polynomials.

4 Concluding remarks

Theorem 1.1 can be further generalized as follows. Let $(x)_0=1$ and $(x)_n=x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ for all $n\geqslant 1$. Then, for any nonnegative integer a, the proof of [4, Lemma 2.1] gives

$$k^a(k+1)^a\binom{k+j}{2j}=\sum_{i=0}^{a}c_i(j,a)\binom{k+j+i}{j+i}(2j+1)_{2i}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $c_0(j,a),\ldots,c_a(j,a)$ are integers independent of k.

Using the identity (4.1) and the same idea in the previous section, we can show that (see the proof of [4, Theorem 1.2])

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)k^a(k+1)^aS_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)^m\equiv 0\pmod n,$$

where $\varepsilon=\pm1$. Noticing that

$$(2k+1)^{2a}=(4k^2+4k+1)^a=\sum_{i=0}^{a}\binom{a}{i}4^ik^i(k+1)^i, \tag{4.2}$$

we immediately get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon^k(2k+1)^{2a+1}S_k^{(r)}(x_0,\ldots,x_k)\equiv 0\pmod n,$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11371144).

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, Identities in combinatorics, I: on sorting two ordered sets, Discrete Math. 11 (1975), 97–106.

[2] R. Apéry, Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$, Astérisque 61 (1979), 11–13.

[3] V.J.W. Guo, Some congruences involving powers of Delannoy polynomials, preprint, 2014.

[4] V.J.W. Guo and J. Zeng, New congruences for sums involving Apery numbers or central Delannoy numbers, preprint, Int. J. Number Theory 8 (2012), 2003–2016.

[5] V.J.W. Guo and J. Zeng, Proof of some conjectures of Z.-W. Sun on congruences for Apéry polynomials, J. Number Theory, 132 (2012), 1731–1740.

[6] Hao Pan, On divisibility of sums of Apéry polynomials, J. Number Theory 143 (2014), 214–223.

[7] A.L. Schmidt, Generalized q-Legendre polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 49 (1993), 243–249.

[8] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[9] Z.-W. Sun, On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences, J. Number Theory, 132 (2012), 2673–2699.

[10] Z.-W. Sun, Congruences involving generalized trinomial coefficients, Sci. China 57 (2014), 1375-1400.